

Faculty Senate Senior Administrator Evaluation - January 2009
Aggregate of all Deans, VPAA and President

Response Rate Information

This summary table contains the aggregate data on six senior administrators (the four Deans, the Vice President for Academic Affairs, and the President). An invitation to participate in this evaluation was sent to 237 full-time faculty in the four schools. Participants could choose to evaluate up to three administrators-their Dean, the Vice President for Academic Affairs, and the President, though some people chose to evaluate only one or two. So, there are 711 possible responses (237*3=711) for each item on the evaluation. Thus, the denominator for computing the response rate on each item is 711. Overall, 76 people participated in the evaluation, yielding a participation rate of 32% (76/237).

Makes or supports decisions that contribute to an environment of scholarly excellence 23% response rate, 163/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	7	9	21	58	68	163
Percentage of responses	4.3%	5.5%	12.9%	35.6%	41.7%	100.0%

Makes or supports decisions that contribute to an environment of teaching excellence 23% response rate, 163/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	13	11	15	59	65	163
Percentage of responses	8.0%	6.7%	9.2%	36.2%	39.9%	100.0%

Consults faculty adequately before making important decisions 22% response rate, 159/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	19	27	29	46	38	159
Percentage of responses	11.9%	17.0%	18.2%	28.9%	23.9%	100.0%

Fosters an atmosphere of open communication 23% response rate, 164/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	21	16	16	44	67	164
Percentage of responses	12.8%	9.8%	9.8%	26.8%	40.9%	100.0%

Faculty Senate Senior Administrator Evaluation - January 2009
Aggregate of all Deans, VPAA and President

Makes excellent administrative appointments 22% response rate, 156/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	13	10	32	54	47	156
Percentage of responses	8.3%	6.4%	20.5%	34.6%	30.1%	100.0%

Inspires confidence in overall leadership 23% response rate, 163/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	19	12	22	43	67	163
Percentage of responses	11.7%	7.4%	13.5%	26.4%	41.1%	100.0%

Inspires trust by fulfilling commitments and promises 22% response rate, 159/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	13	14	23	44	65	159
Percentage of responses	8.2%	8.8%	14.5%	27.7%	40.9%	100.0%

Treats subordinates with respect 23% response rate, 163/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	16	16	9	38	84	163
Percentage of responses	9.8%	9.8%	5.5%	23.3%	51.5%	100.0%

Adequately promotes an environment that is free of all types of discrimination, as defined by the NGCSU Non-Discrimination 23% response rate, 160/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	13	5	18	38	86	160
Percentage of responses	8.1%	3.1%	11.3%	23.8%	53.8%	100.0%

Effectively represents the interests of the [university/college/school] to [regents and state officials/senior administrators] 22% response rate, 157/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	8	6	21	44	78	157
Percentage of responses	5.1%	3.8%	13.4%	28.0%	49.7%	100.0%

Faculty Senate Senior Administrator Evaluation - January 2009
Aggregate of all Deans, VPAA and President

Is attentive to long-term, strategic issues that affect the [university/college/school] 23% response rate, 161/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	6	6	14	50	85	161
Percentage of responses	3.7%	3.7%	8.7%	31.1%	52.8%	100.0%

Successfully seeks funds to support the mission of the [university/college/school] 21% response rate, 152/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	9	7	29	40	67	152
Percentage of responses	5.9%	4.6%	19.1%	26.3%	44.1%	100.0%

Exercises sound judgment in matters related to promotion and tenure 22% response rate, 153/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	11	9	26	43	64	153
Percentage of responses	7.2%	5.9%	17.0%	28.1%	41.8%	100.0%

Is consistent and fair in the allocation of resources among [departments/schools] 21% response rate, 151/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	6	15	24	43	63	151
Percentage of responses	4.0%	9.9%	15.9%	28.5%	41.7%	100.0%

Is consistent and fair in the resolution of conflicts 21% response rate, 152/711.						
	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree	Total
Responses	9	14	31	40	58	152
Percentage of responses	5.9%	9.2%	20.4%	26.3%	38.2%	100.0%